

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,664	06/20/2003	Thomas Voss	WWELL45.002AUS	5680
20995 75	590 05/27/2004		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			WRIGHT, DIRK	
2040 MAIN ST FOURTEENTI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA			3681	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/600,664	VOSS ET AL.	$_(\bigvee$			
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE And	Dirk Wright	3681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONET	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi 0. (35 U.S.C. & 133)	ication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan			its is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			1			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and the correction of the correction of the original transfer and the correction of	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.1				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	e			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08202003. S. Patent and Trademark Office.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dal 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Art Unit: 3681

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, "reduced reactive-power" is undefined and therefore renders the claim indefinite. In claim 3, "(overdrive wheel train)" is informal and confusing. If applicant intends to claim this feature, he must recite it positively. In claim 4, "(overdrive)" is likewise informal and therefore confusing. In claim 6, "is led" is confusing. How can an input "lead"? In claim 10, "the axial direction" lacks an antecedent.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-24 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not anticipate nor render obvious the invention of a variable speed transmission that includes the combination of two parallel branches, one branch including a multi-step transmission and the other branch including a summing transmission, and wherein a friction clutch is provided, the clutch having a first and a second element, one of the elements being connectable to transmission elements by means of at least one shift element.

Art Unit: 3681

Conclusion

The examiner has considered the references cited in applicant's Information Disclosure Statement filed August 20, 2003. None of the references cited show a parallel branch transmission with an interposed friction clutch.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Frost '358 shows a parallel transmission including a multi-speed branch and a summing branch, but does not appear to show the required clutch. Bosko '167 shows a variable speed transmission with a clutch 68 but the transmission does not appear to be of the parallel type.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dirk Wright whose telephone number is 703-308-2160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on 703-308-0830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dirk Wright

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3681

DW Saturday, May 22, 2004